



United States Patent and Trademark Office



PR

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,739	12	2/18/2001	Michael D. Miller	9714	
7	590	03/20/2003			
Bradley P. Sy	lvester		EXAMINER		
Suite 100 225 N. Market			WATTS, DOUGLAS D		
Wichita, KS 6	1202			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				3724	
			DATE MAILED: 03/20/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			MA				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	10/020,739	MILLER, MICHAE	L D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Douglas D. Watts	3724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timel INTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 •						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.						
 Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims 			ne merits is				
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	l . .						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-17</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		–					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acception							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	- / /-	disapproved by the Examin	lei.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	armior.						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 H.S.C.	& 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	i phoney under oo o.o.o	. 3 1 10(a) (a) of (i).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		•	Stage				
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	•	Otago				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No f Informal Patent Application (PT					
S Patent and Trademack Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/020,739

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 9, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Gorton.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 9, 11-12, 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gagnon et al. in view of Town et al.

Town shows a tapered handle that is wider at the back than at the connection to the fork. This is a relatively standard design that has the advantage of enabling the user to better manipulate the utensil. Obviously one of ordinary skill in the art would have such knowledge and employ it to modify the device of Gagnon by widening the rear of the handle. Town also teaches that seriated edges have an added advantage. Such edges would obviously be added to the Gagnon as and for the purpose of Town. The material

Application/Control Number: 10/020,739

Art Unit: 3724

used for the fork would, absent a showing of criticality, be an obvious matter of choice for one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claims 10, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gagnon et al. and Town et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Cox. Cox shows that the outsides of a fork may be sharpened to add a function to the implement. Obviously one of ordinary skill in the art would add such deges to the fork of Gagnon to add a function.

Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gagnon et al. and Town et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bouchakian.

Bouchakian shows a fork with one sharp edge and a dull edge. This is a way of adding a function to the fork. Obviously, one of ordinary skill in the would add such an edge to the fork of Gagnon to add a function thereto.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas D. Watts whose telephone number is (703) 308-0153. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allen Shoap can be reached on (703) 308-1082. The fax phone numbers

Application/Control Number: 10/020,739

Art Unit: 3724

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3579 for regular communications and (703) 305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1078.

DDW March 17, 2003

DOUGLAS D. WATTS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Dep Mr